

PEG Grant Evaluation Rubric – AY 2025/2026

AI and Digital Literacy

Maximum Score: 25 Points

NAME OF APPLICANT:

Criteria	Excellent (5 points)	Good (4 points)	Satisfactory (3 points)	Needs Improvement (2 points)	Insufficient (1 point)	Score
1. Alignment with AI/Digital Literacy Focus	Activity is deeply rooted in AI/digital literacy with clear relevance to applicant's work.	Activity relates well to AI/digital literacy, some connections to practice.	Activity has a general digital focus, limited emphasis on AI.	Weak alignment to AI/digital literacy or lacks clear focus.	Activity is unrelated or only tangentially connected to AI/digital literacy.	___ /5
2. Impact on Professional Development	Clearly demonstrates how the activity will advance 3-year goals and apply to teaching/research/clinical practice.	Well-explained impact on career development and use of skills.	General description of future goals, limited detail on application.	Weak connection to career growth or unclear how knowledge will be used.	No clear goals or impact described.	___ /5
3. Dissemination Plan	Strong plan to share outcomes with colleagues, students, or institution.	Clear intention to disseminate learning.	Some mention of sharing, but not well developed.	Vague or limited plans to share outcomes.	No plan for dissemination.	___ /5
4. Budget Detail and Justification	Budget is clear, itemized, and fully justified. Costs are reasonable and aligned with guidelines.	Budget is mostly detailed with minor gaps or unclear items.	Budget is somewhat vague but appears reasonable.	Budget is incomplete, unclear, or includes some unallowable costs.	Budget is missing or mostly unallowable.	___ /5
5. Strength of Chair's Letter of Support	Strong endorsement with clear relevance to applicant's career and department benefit.	Supportive letter with relevant but general commentary.	Letter offers basic endorsement with minimal detail.	Weak support or unclear relevance to department.	Letter missing or does not address support effectively.	___ /5

Total Score: ___ / 25

Scoring and Recommendation

- **Total Points Possible:** 25
- **Recommended Award Threshold:**
 - **20–25:** Highly Recommended for Funding
 - **12–19:** Recommended for Funding if Budget Allows
 - **<12:** Not Recommended for Funding