## **PEG Grant Evaluation Rubric - AY 2025/2026**

# Team Approaches to Excellence in Education and Research

Maximum Score: 30 Points

#### NAME OF APPLICANT:

Criteria	Excellent (5)	Good (4)	Adequate (3)	Needs Improvement (2–1)	Score
1. Strength of Team	Highly interdisciplinary; clearly	Team has good mix of	Limited interdisciplinary	Minimal collaboration; unclear	/5
Approach	defined roles; collaborative plan	disciplines; roles are	mix; roles loosely defined	contributions	
	enhances impact	defined			
2. Innovation and	Proposal introduces a novel,	Some new approaches	Limited innovation; small	Replicates existing initiatives	/5
Educational Impact	scalable, or high-impact solution	proposed; moderate	scope or low institutional	without improvement	
	for education or research	impact potential	reach		
	collaboration				
3. Quality of Project	Activities, timeline, and outcomes	Clear plan with minor gaps	General plan but lacks	Timeline, milestones, or	/5
Design	are clear, feasible, and well-	or feasibility concerns	detail or cohesion	outcomes are poorly developed	
	organized			or unrealistic	
4. Sustainability and	Strong 3-year plan with clear	Reasonable plan with some	Limited long-term	No plan for continuation or	/5
Future Impact	outcomes and sustainability	vision for continuation	planning; unclear beyond	broader impact	
	mechanisms		funding year		
5. Budget Clarity and	Detailed, realistic, and well-	Mostly clear and	Basic or partially justified;	Unclear, unrealistic, or	/5
Justification	justified; aligned with allowable	appropriate; minor gaps	may include unallowable	misaligned with allowable costs	
	expenses		items		
6. Institutional and	Strong case for how activity	Some evidence of benefit	General benefit implied;	No clear benefit identified	/5
Departmental Benefit	enhances department and	to department/institution	lacks specificity		
	institutional goals				

Total Score: \_\_\_\_ / 30

### **Scoring and Recommendation**

**Total Points Possible: 30** 

#### Recommended Award Threshold:

- o **25–30:** Highly Recommended for Funding
- o **15-24:** Recommended for Funding if Budget Allows
- O <15: Not Recommended for Funding</p>